

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Dex 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/704,422		11/01/2000	Ronald N. Zuckermann	CHIRP012/1613.002	RP012/1613.002 4637	
27476	7590	07/17/2003		,		
Chiron Corporation				EXAMINER		
Intellectual Property - R440 P.O. Box 8097				PADMANABH	PADMANABHAN, KARTIC	
Emeryville,	CA 9466	2-8097		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1641		

DATE MAILED: 07/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/704,422	ZUCKERMANN ET	AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Kartic Padmanabhan	1641						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avign rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica at timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a tion in					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP					
tee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offici	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appr originally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:							
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);						
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	T place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: none.								
Claim(s) objected to: none.								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25,74 and 75</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.								
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	LONG V. LI	hh					
10. Other:	s	CUPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CEN	T EXAMINER					
			4/14/02					

Application No. 009/704,422

Continuation She t (PTO-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: applicant's proposed claim amendments introduce limitations regarding the binding affinity of the ligand that have not been previously considered or searched. These limitations would also need to be considered in terms of new matter.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons set forth in the previous office action. Applicant's arguments regarding the new claim limitations are moot, as they have not been entered or considered. It is also noted that applicant's 1.132 declaration is not persuasive to overcome the outstanding rejections because it relies on the new claim amendments, which, as stated above, have not been entered or considered.